

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,566	10/30/2001	Naoki Tagami	3712174.00300	6359
29175 K&L Gates LI	7590 03/10/201 P	0	EXAM	IINER
P. O. BOX 113	35		ROSWELL, MICHAEL	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/016,566	TAGAMI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MICHAEL ROSWELL	2173		

		MICHAEL ROSWELL	2173	
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY	FILED 16 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
applic applic	ply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ation, applicant must timely file one of the following ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe intinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C is:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) 🖾 Th	ne period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is caminer Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b	I time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ad is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext X 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s) alove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later yearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing t	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter • of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi NTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ⊠ The p		sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
	They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(a) 🗀	They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			TOL 004)
	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	OL-324).
6. Newl	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): y proposed or amended claim(s) would be all llowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
how the State of Claim Claim Claim	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [in enew or amended claims would be rejected is provatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: (s) allowed: (s) objected to: (s) rejected: (s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
becau	ffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but se applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing and because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ong a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
REQUEST	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. Ine	request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (r:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Kiou Vu	1			

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2173

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 7, and 9 recite the new limitation "a list of spatial locations corresponding to the first user, wherein the list of spatial locations lists spatial locations lists spatial locations lists spatial locations lists spatial location." No such limitation exists in the previous version of the claims, and is sufficient to alter the scope of the claims as to warrant further.